

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/572,733	01/12/2007	Hiroaki Yamamoto	020357 097P2	6898	
33805 WEGMAN H	7590 07/16/200 ESSLER & VANDERI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD			HEITBRINK	HEITBRINK, JILL LYNNE	
SUITE 200 CLEVELAND	OH 44131	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Carrie Taranti (, 011 111111	1791			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/572,733	YAMAMOTO, HIROAKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jill L. Heitbrink	1791	

		OIII E. FICIADITIK	1751	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FIL	ED 09 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
application application for Continu periods:	vas filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on , applicant must timely file one of the following in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App ed Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
	riod for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date riod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		i	abarras in Laters. In
no ever	nt, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
	er Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is t under 37 CFR 1.17 set forth in (b) above	may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the date for purposes of determining the period of ex (a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later tried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) PEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
	of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the N	otice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter ppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	and an endorself a file of affine a final action of	had a standard than data at 600 and a balat		
(a) X They	sed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I raise new issues that would require further con raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
	are not deemed to place the application in bet eal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They	present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NO ⁻	TE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amen	dments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's	s reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
	posed or amended claim(s) would be all ble claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
how the ne The status	es of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) wor amended claims would be rejected is provof the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) al				
	jected: <u>1-16</u> .			
	thdrawn from consideration:			
	OTHER EVIDENCE			
because ap was not ea	rit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu oplicant failed to provide a showing of good and rlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
entered be	rit or other evidence filed after the date of filing cause the affidavit or other evidence failed to c good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	wit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	est for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
	inuation Sheet			

/Jill L. Heitbrink/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

13. Other: _____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment does not provide anticedent basis for "said female member and said male member". Removal of the refernce to "a tangent line that touches said forward boundary," raises new issues as to the location of the rearward boundary.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Yamamoto moves that mold members at a normal angle from the part line 150. However, applicant moves the mold members at a normal angle from the part line 194. and PL6. The angular movement is the same as defined in the claims as stated in the final rejection. Applicant argues that there is no indication in Hirose that one of the mold members should be moved relative to the other at an angle of 1 to 20 regions relative to the Y direction in a mold cavity. However, the Y axis does not have any point of reference other than being drawn at an angle to the slopped bottom wall and mold withdrawal direction. This Y axis can be drawn in that direction in Hirose since it is only a reference line.